

ALGORITHMIC TRADING AND ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE: A DESCRIPTIVE AND THEORY-BASED REVIEW

Mr. Gunwant Awasthi¹, Dr. Nishant Ghuge²

¹ Assistant Professor, Finance Department, University of Mumbai, Thakur Institute of Management Studies & Research, Mumbai, India.

Email: gunwant.awasthi@thakureducation.org

² Assistant Professor, Finance Department, KBC University, Jalgaon, Moolji Jaitha College, Jalgaon, India.

Email: gnishantr@gmail.com

Abstract

Algorithmic trading has transformed modern financial markets by replacing discretionary decision-making with automated, rule-based systems. With the advancement of Artificial Intelligence (AI), particularly machine learning and data-driven models, algorithmic trading has evolved beyond simple execution algorithms to complex adaptive systems capable of learning from market behavior. This paper provides a descriptive and theory-based review of algorithmic trading and the role of AI in financial markets. It discusses the conceptual foundations, theoretical underpinnings, types of algorithmic trading strategies, AI techniques applied in trading, market microstructure implications, and regulatory considerations. The study synthesizes existing literature to highlight how AI enhances efficiency, liquidity, and price discovery, while also introducing new challenges related to risk, transparency, and market stability. The paper contributes to academic understanding by consolidating theoretical perspectives and identifying future research directions in the intersection of algorithmic trading and artificial intelligence.

Keywords: Algorithmic Trading, Artificial Intelligence, Financial Markets, Machine Learning, Market Efficiency.

► *Corresponding Author: Mr. Gunwant Awasthi*

1. Introduction

Financial markets have undergone significant transformation due to advances in information technology. One of the most prominent developments is the rise of algorithmic trading, where computer algorithms automatically generate and execute trading orders based on predefined rules. Algorithmic trading was initially adopted to reduce transaction costs and improve execution efficiency. Over time, the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has expanded its scope, enabling systems to analyze large volumes of structured and unstructured data and adapt to changing market conditions.

The increasing complexity of financial markets, high-frequency data availability, and competitive pressures have made AI-driven algorithmic trading an essential component of modern trading ecosystems. While practitioners emphasize performance and profitability, academic literature focuses on theoretical explanations related to market efficiency, information asymmetry, and behavioral finance. This paper adopts a descriptive and theory-based approach to examine

algorithmic trading and AI, with the objective of explaining its conceptual foundations and broader implications for financial markets.

2. Conceptual Framework of Algorithmic Trading

Algorithmic trading refers to the use of computer algorithms to automatically place trades according to predefined criteria such as price, volume, timing, or mathematical models. At its core, algorithmic trading is grounded in the principles of automation, speed, and consistency. Unlike human traders, algorithms can process information rapidly and execute trades without emotional bias.

From a theoretical perspective, algorithmic trading aligns closely with the Efficient Market Hypothesis (EMH), which posits that asset prices reflect all available information. Algorithms are designed to exploit small, short-lived inefficiencies by reacting faster than human traders. The concept of rational expectations also underpins algorithmic trading, as algorithms are assumed to process information objectively and update strategies based on new data.

Algorithmic trading systems typically consist of three components: data input, decision logic, and execution mechanisms. Data inputs may include market prices, order book information, macroeconomic indicators, and news feeds. Decision logic is defined by mathematical models or AI-based learning systems, while execution mechanisms ensure timely order placement with minimal market impact.

3. Evolution of Algorithmic Trading

The evolution of algorithmic trading can be divided into distinct phases. The initial phase focused on execution algorithms such as Volume Weighted Average Price (VWAP) and Time Weighted Average Price (TWAP), which aimed to minimize transaction costs for large institutional orders. These strategies were largely deterministic and rule-based.

The second phase introduced quantitative and statistical arbitrage strategies. These models relied on historical data and statistical relationships between securities. Theoretical foundations for this phase can be traced to modern portfolio theory and arbitrage pricing theory, which emphasize diversification and risk-adjusted returns.

The third and current phase is characterized by the integration of AI and machine learning. In this phase, algorithms are no longer static but adaptive. They learn patterns from data, adjust parameters dynamically, and respond to evolving market structures. This evolution reflects a shift from purely model-driven approaches to data-driven intelligence.

4. Artificial Intelligence in Algorithmic Trading

Artificial Intelligence refers to the ability of machines to perform tasks that typically require human intelligence, such as learning, pattern recognition, and decision-making. In algorithmic trading, AI is primarily implemented through machine learning, deep learning, and natural language processing techniques.

4.1 Machine Learning and Trading Models

Machine learning models enable algorithms to identify complex, nonlinear relationships in financial data. Supervised learning techniques are used for price prediction and classification tasks, while unsupervised learning assists in clustering assets and detecting market regimes. Reinforcement learning, grounded in decision theory, allows trading agents to learn optimal strategies through trial and error.

Theoretically, AI-based trading challenges traditional assumptions of linearity and normality in financial models. It aligns more closely with adaptive market hypothesis, which suggests that market efficiency evolves over time as participants adapt to changing environments.

4.2 Data and Information Processing

AI-driven algorithmic trading systems process vast datasets, including high-frequency market data and alternative data sources. This capability enhances informational efficiency by rapidly incorporating new information into prices. However, it also raises concerns related to data quality, overfitting, and model interpretability.

5. Market Microstructure and Algorithmic Trading

Market microstructure theory examines how trading mechanisms affect price formation and liquidity. Algorithmic trading has a profound impact on market microstructure by increasing trading volume, narrowing bid-ask spreads, and enhancing liquidity under normal conditions.

From a theoretical standpoint, algorithms act as informed traders who continuously update prices based on new information. This supports the price discovery process. However, during periods of market stress, algorithmic trading may amplify volatility due to feedback loops and herding behavior among similar models.

High-frequency trading, a subset of algorithmic trading, raises additional theoretical questions regarding fairness and market stability. While some theories suggest that high-frequency traders provide liquidity, others argue that they may withdraw liquidity during extreme events, increasing systemic risk.

6. Risks and Challenges of AI-Based Algorithmic Trading

Despite its advantages, AI-driven algorithmic trading introduces several risks. Model risk arises when algorithms rely on historical patterns that may not persist. From a theoretical perspective, this reflects the limitation of inductive reasoning in uncertain environments.

Another challenge is the lack of transparency and explainability of AI models. Black-box algorithms conflict with traditional financial theories that emphasize model interpretability and rational decision-making. Operational risks, such as system failures and cyber threats, further complicate the deployment of AI-based trading systems.

Ethical concerns and regulatory challenges also emerge, particularly regarding market manipulation, unfair access to technology, and accountability for algorithmic decisions.

7. Regulatory and Theoretical Implications

The growth of algorithmic trading and AI has prompted regulators to reconsider existing market frameworks. Theoretically, regulation aims to balance market efficiency with stability and fairness. Regulatory measures often focus on risk controls, algorithm testing, and disclosure requirements.

From an academic perspective, algorithmic trading necessitates the integration of finance theory with computational and behavioral insights. Traditional models based on representative agents are increasingly insufficient to explain markets dominated by interacting algorithms.

8. Conclusion

Algorithmic trading, enhanced by Artificial Intelligence, represents a significant shift in the functioning of financial markets. This paper has provided a descriptive and theory-based review of its evolution, conceptual foundations, and implications. AI-driven algorithms improve

efficiency, liquidity, and information processing, supporting key financial theories related to market efficiency and rational expectations. At the same time, they introduce new challenges related to risk, transparency, and systemic stability.

Theoretical frameworks such as the adaptive market hypothesis and market microstructure theory offer valuable insights into understanding these developments. Future research should focus on integrating AI models with financial theory and examining their long-term impact on market behavior and regulation.

References

1. Fama, E. F. (1970). Efficient capital markets: A review of theory and empirical work. *The Journal of Finance*, 25(2), 383–417.
2. Fama, E. F. (1991). Efficient capital markets: II. *The Journal of Finance*, 46(5), 1575–1617.
3. Grossman, S. J., & Stiglitz, J. E. (1980). On the impossibility of informationally efficient markets. *The American Economic Review*, 70(3), 393–408.
4. Lo, A. W. (2004). The adaptive markets hypothesis. *The Journal of Portfolio Management*, 30(5), 15–29.
5. Madhavan, A. (2000). Market microstructure: A survey. *Journal of Financial Markets*, 3(3), 205–258.
6. Narayanan, V., & Petacchi, R. (2020). Artificial intelligence and financial markets. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 58(2), 367–404.
7. Treleaven, P., Galas, M., & Lalchand, V. (2013). Algorithmic trading review. *Communications of the ACM*, 56(11), 76–85.